

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/026,019	12/20/2001	Ralph H. Johnson	15436.436.3	6105	
22913	7590 02/17/2	006	EXAMINER		
*** • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	IN NYDEGGER RKMAN NYDEGGI	NGUYEN, DUNG T			
	OUTH TEMPLE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	E GATE TOWER	2828	2828		
SALT LAK	ECITY, UT 84111	DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		019	JOHNSON, RALPH H.		
		er	Art Unit		
	Dung (M	lichael) T. Nguyen	2828		
The MAILING DATE of this com	munication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Extensions of time may be available under the professor of the state of this If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxin Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	HE MAILING DATE OF visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication.  The statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the abouths after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be time will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this co  (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(section is FINAL.</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in conditional closed in accordance with the personal conditions.</li> </ul>	2b) This action is ition for allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4)	is/are withdrawn from one of the one of the office of the	consideration. d.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on is Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) incl	//are: a) ☐ accepted or objection to the drawing(suding the correction is requ	) be held in abeyance. See uired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite	Q.152)	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	49 or PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	J-132)	

Art Unit: 2828

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-25 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ouchi (6046096) in view of Sun et al. (6567448).

With respect to claims 21-22, 24-25, and 28, Ouchi discloses an active region further comprising at least one quantum well comprised of InGaAsN and including AlGaAs barrier layers sandwiching said at least one quantum well (col.1, 1.34-36).

Ouchi lacks AlGaAs confinement layers sandwiching said active region.

Sun teach AlGaAs confinement layers sandwiching said active region (col.3, 1.50-54).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Ouchi what is taught by Sun to provide carrier confinement and to produce photon emission and optical amplification within the VCSEL (col.5, 1.9-15).

With respect to claims 23 and 27, Ouchi discloses the thickness of the InGaAsN quantum well (col.7, 1.38).

Art Unit: 2828

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ouchi (6046096) in view of Sun et al. (6567448) and further in view of Riechert et al. (2003/0179792). Ouchi and Sun disclose all limitations of the claim except for the InGaAsN barrier layers.

Riechert teach the InGaAsN barrier layers (para.0004, last two lines).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Ouchi and Sun what is taught by Riechert to employ an alternative semiconductor material for the barrier layers.

Claims 29 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riechert et al. (2003/0179792) in view of Sun et al. (6567448). Riechert a vertical cavity surface emitting laser (VCSEL), comprising: an active region further comprising at least one quantum well comprised of InGaAsN and including InGaAs (para.0002) or GaAsN (para.0010) barrier layers sandwiching said at least one quantum well.

Riechert lack AlGaAs confinement layers sandwiching said active region.

Sun teach AlGaAs confinement layers sandwiching said active region (col.3, 1.50-54).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Riechert what is taught by Sun to provide carrier confinement and to produce photon emission and optical amplification within the VCSEL (col.5, l.9-15).

Application/Control Number: 10/026,019

Art Unit: 2828

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 01/09/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On pages 14 (second paragraph) and 15 (third paragraph), Applicant argued that the Sun reference discloses the AlGaAs confinement layers being part of the active region and not sandwiching the active region. The examiner does not concur with the argument. Indeed, Sun does teach the AlGaAs confinement layers not being part of the active region (64) (column 2, line 48) and sandwiching the active region (64) (column 2, lines 52-53).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 30 and 33 are allowed over Ouchi, Jiang, Riechert, and Sun prior art because they fail to teach the limitation of GaAsN confinement layers sandwiching said active region.

Claim 34 is allowed over Ouchi, Jiang, Riechert, and Sun prior art because they fail to teach the limitation of a flattening layer interposed between the lower confinement layer and the at least one quantum well.

Claims 2-3, 5-7, 9, 14-16, and 18 are found allowable due to their dependency of claim 34.

#### Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Application/Control Number: 10/026,019

Art Unit: 2828

Michael Dung Nguyen

The state of the s

Page 6